

January 6, 1996

Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW
Washington, D. C. 20554

RECEIVED
JAN 7 1996
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Sir

Enclosed are five copies of Reply Comments by the petitioner, Michael P. Stephens in reference to MM Docket No. 95-167. If I can be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact me at the address and phone number below.

Sincerely

Michael P. Stephens

Michael P. Stephens
P. O. Box 1250
Sapulpa, Oklahoma 74067
(918) 224-4931

No. of Copies rec'd 024
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20554

In the matter of) MM Docket No. 95-167
Amendment of Section 73.202(b),) RM-8699
Table of Allocations, FM)
Broadcast Station KTFR,)
Claremore and Chelsea, Oklahoma)

To: The Chief, Allocations Branch
Mass Media Bureau

FCC MAIL ROOM

966/2/11/95

RECEIVED

REPLY COMMENTS OF MICHAEL P. STEPHENS (petitioner)

Comes now Michael P. Stephens ("Stephens" or
"Petitioner") and submits his reply c omments pursuant to the
Notice of Proposed Rule Making released November 2, 1995.

By his Petition for Rule Making filed July 6, 1995,
Stephens sought to have the Commission amend its Table of
Frequency Allocations, Section 73.202(b), of the Rules, to
delete FM Channel 264A from Claremore, Oklahoma, and allocate
it instead to Chelsea, Oklahoma, and to modify the
construction permit held by Stephens, which now specifies
Channel 264A at Claremore to specify that Channel to Chelsea,
Oklahoma.

In the said Notice of Proposed Rule Making, the
Commission proposed the channel change sought by Stephens and
also contemplated modification of Stephen's construction
permit for Channel 264A at Claremore to specify Channel 264A
at Chelsea.

Also, the Commission pointed out that according to
present conditions, KTFR is restricted to an ERP of 3
kilowatts, while relocating at Chelsea, the station will be

able to improve its facilities to full Class A at 6 kilowatts.

The Commission requested at paragraph 5 of the Notice of Proposed Rule Making a study of areas and populations which will receive new service and the areas and populations which will lose existing service if Channel 264A is allotted to Chelsea. Additionally requested was a study indicating the number of reception services which are now available within the gain and loss areas. The Commission noted at sub paragraph 1 that "although the petitioner's request was signed, he failed to include an affidavit verifying that the statements contained in the petition were accurate to the best of his knowledge" required by Section 1.52 of the Commission's Rules and requested the petitioner to provide such affidavit of certification.

All of these conditions were met in the petitioner's Comments filed December 7, 1995.

In these Reply Comments, Stephens affirms his intention to construct a station on Channel 264A at Chelsea and upon completion of such construction, operate such station. When the Commission modifies the construction permit held by Stephens, Stephens will file any necessary documents, including FCC Forms 301 and 302 as required.

In the absence of any Comments received by the petitioner from any interested party by the deadline date set by the NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING I hereby request

the Commission to grant my petition. In the event such interested parties should file with the Commission Comments in this Docket procedure that have not been served upon the petitioner by the deadline date and were delayed such service due to the dispute between Congress and the President which closed down the FCC temporarily, the petitioner requests leave to respond to such Comments.

Respectfully Submitted this 6th day of Jan, 1986.

Michael P. Stephens

Michael P. Stephens, Petitioner
P. O. Box 1250
Sapulpa, Oklahoma 74067
(918) 224-4931